

# Perturbation Methods IV: Perturbing the Value Function

(Lectures on Solution Methods for Economists VIII)

Jesús Fernández-Villaverde<sup>1</sup> and Pablo Guerrón<sup>2</sup> November 21, 2021

<sup>1</sup>University of Pennsylvania

 $^2$ Boston College

## Perturbing the value function

- We worked with the equilibrium conditions of the model.
- Sometimes we may want to perform a perturbation on the value function formulation of the problem.
- Possible reasons:
  - 1. Gain insight.
  - 2. Difficulty in using equilibrium conditions.
  - 3. Evaluate welfare.
  - 4. Initial guess for VFI.
- More general point: we can perturb any operator problem that we find useful.

#### **Basic problem**

• The previous problem in recursive form:

$$V(k_t, z_t) = \max_{c_t} \left[ \log c_t + \beta \mathbb{E}_t V(k_{t+1}, z_{t+1}) \right]$$
  
s.t.  $c_t + k_{t+1} = e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha}$   
 $z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \sigma \varepsilon_t, \ \varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ 

• Write it as:

$$V(k_t, z_t; \lambda) = \max_{c_t} \left[ \log c_t + \beta \mathbb{E}_t V(e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha} - c_t, \rho z_t + \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_{t+1}; \lambda) \right]$$

• The solution of this problem is value function  $V(k_t, z_t; \lambda)$  and a policy function for consumption  $c(k_t, z_t; \lambda)$ .

# Expanding the value function

The second-order Taylor approximation of the value function around the deterministic steady state (k, 0; 0) is:

$$V(k_{t}, z_{t}; \lambda) \simeq$$

$$V_{ss} + V_{1,ss} (k_{t} - k_{ss}) + V_{2,ss} z_{t} + V_{3,ss} \lambda$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} V_{11,ss} (k_{t} - k)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} V_{12,ss} (k_{t} - k) z_{t} + \frac{1}{2} V_{13,ss} (k_{t} - k) \lambda$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} V_{21,ss} z_{t} (k_{t} - k) + \frac{1}{2} V_{22,ss} z_{t}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} V_{23,ss} z_{t} \lambda$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} V_{31,ss} \lambda (k_{t} - k) + \frac{1}{2} V_{32,ss} \lambda z_{t} + \frac{1}{2} V_{33,ss}^{2} \lambda^{2}$$

where

$$V_{ss} = V(k,0;0)$$
  

$$V_{i,ss} = V_i(k,0;0) \text{ for } i = \{1,2,3\}$$
  

$$V_{ij,ss} = V_{ij}(k,0;0) \text{ for } i,j = \{1,2,3\}$$

## Expanding the value function

• By certainty equivalence, we will show below that:

$$V_{3,ss} = V_{13,ss} = V_{23,ss} = 0$$

Taking advantage of the equality of cross-derivatives, and setting λ = 1, which is just a normalization:

$$egin{aligned} V\left(k_{t}, z_{t}; 1
ight) &\simeq V_{ss} + V_{1,ss}\left(k_{t}-k
ight) + V_{2,ss}z_{t} \ &+ rac{1}{2}V_{11,ss}\left(k_{t}-k
ight)^{2} + rac{1}{2}V_{22,ss}z_{tt}^{2} \ &+ V_{12,ss}\left(k_{t}-k
ight)z + rac{1}{2}V_{33,ss} + ... \end{aligned}$$

• Note that  $V_{33,ss} \neq 0$ , a difference from the standard linear-quadratic approximation to the utility functions.

#### Expanding the consumption function

• The policy function for consumption can be expanded as:

$$c_t = c(k_t, z_t; \lambda) \simeq c_{ss} + c_{1,ss}(k_t - k) + c_{2,ss}z_t + c_{3,ss}\chi + ...$$

where:

 $c_{1,ss} = c_1 (k_{ss}, 0; 0)$   $c_{2,ss} = c_2 (k_{ss}, 0; 0)$  $c_{3,ss} = c_3 (k_{ss}, 0; 0)$ 

• Since the first derivatives of the consumption function only depend on the first and second derivatives of the value function, we must have that  $c_{3,ss} = 0$  (precautionary consumption depends on the third derivative of the value function, Kimball, 1990).

#### Linear components of the value function

• As before, we first find the steady state of the model:

$$k = (\alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$
$$c = (\alpha\beta)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} - (\alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$
$$V_{ss} = \frac{\log c}{1-\beta}$$

• We substitute the decision rules into the value function and drop the max operator:

$$V(k_t, z_t; \lambda) - \log c(k_t, z_t; \lambda) +\beta \mathbb{E}_t V(e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha} - c(k_t, z_t; \lambda), \rho z_t + \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_{t+1}; \lambda) = 0$$

• We take derivatives of the value function with respect to the control  $(c_t)$ , the states  $(k_t, z_t)$ , and the perturbation parameter  $\lambda$ .

## Derivatives

• Derivative with respect to *c<sub>t</sub>*:

$$c_t^{-1} - \beta \mathbb{E}_t V_{1,t+1} = 0$$

• Derivative with respect to k<sub>t</sub>:

$$V_{1,t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t V_{1,t+1} \left( \alpha e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha - 1} \right)$$

• Derivative with respect to *z<sub>t</sub>*:

$$V_{2,t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ V_{1,t+1} e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha} + \rho V_{2,t+1} \right]$$

• Derivative with respect to  $\lambda$ :

$$V_{3,t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ V_{2,t+1} \sigma \varepsilon_{t+1} + V_{3,t+1} \right]$$

• We apply the envelope theorem to eliminate the derivatives of consumption with respect to  $k_t$ ,  $z_t$ , and  $\lambda$ .

Now, we have the system:

$$c_t^{-1} - \beta \mathbb{E}_t V_{1,t+1} = 0$$

$$V_{1,t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t V_{1,t+1} \alpha e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha - 1}$$

$$V_{2,t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ V_{1,t+1} e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha} + \rho V_{2,t+1} \right]$$

$$V_{3,t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ V_{2,t+1} \sigma \varepsilon_{t+1} + V_{3,t+1} \right]$$

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_t$$

# System of equations II

If we set  $\lambda = 0$  and compute the steady state, we get a system of four equations on four unknowns, k,  $V_{1,ss}$ ,  $V_{2,ss}$ , and  $V_{3,ss}$ :

$$\frac{1}{c} - \beta V_{1,ss} = 0$$
$$V_{1,ss} = \beta V_{1,ss} \alpha k^{\alpha - 1}$$
$$V_{2,ss} = \beta \left[ V_{1,ss} k^{\theta} + \rho V_{2,ss} \right]$$
$$V_{3,ss} = \beta V_{3,ss}$$

• Then:

1. 
$$V_{1,ss} = \frac{1}{\beta c} > 0.$$
  
2.  $V_{2,ss} = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta \rho} \frac{k^{\alpha}}{c} = \frac{\beta}{(1-\alpha\beta)(1-\beta\rho)} > 0.$   
3.  $V_{3,ss} = 0.$ 

From the previous derivations, we have:

$$c_t^{-1} - \beta \mathbb{E}_t V_{1,t+1} = 0$$
$$V_{1,t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t V_{1,t+1} \alpha e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha - 1}$$
$$V_{2,t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ V_{1,t+1} e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha} + \rho V_{2,t+1} \right]$$
$$V_{3,t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ V_{2,t+1} \sigma \varepsilon_{t+1} + V_{3,t+1} \right]$$

- We will now take derivatives of each of the four equations with respect to  $k_t, z_t$ , and  $\lambda$ .
- We will take advantage of the equality of cross derivatives.
- The envelope theorem does not hold anymore (we are taking derivatives of the derivatives of the value function).

- An advantage of performing the perturbation on the value function is that we have evaluation of welfare readily available.
- Note that at the deterministic steady state, we have:

$$V\left(k,0;\chi
ight)\simeq V_{ss}+rac{1}{2}V_{
m 33,ss}$$

- Hence  $\frac{1}{2}V_{33,ss}$  is a measure of the welfare cost of the business cycle.
- Note that this quantity is not necessarily negative. Indeed, it may well be positive in many models, like in a RBC with leisure choice. See Cho and Cooley (2000).

- We know that  $V_{ss} = \frac{\log c}{1-\beta}$ .
- Then, we can compute the decrease in consumption τ that will make the household indifferent between consuming (1 - τ) c units per period with certainty or c<sub>t</sub> units with uncertainty.
- To do so, note that:

$$\frac{\log c}{1-\beta} + \frac{1}{2}V_{33,ss} = \frac{\log c}{1-\beta} + \frac{\log (1-\tau)}{1-\beta} \Rightarrow$$
$$\tau = 1 - \exp\left(\frac{1-\beta}{2}V_{33,ss}\right)$$

• A more realistic example

$$V(k_t, z_t) = \max_{c_t} \left[ (1 - \beta) \frac{c_t^{1 - \gamma}}{1 - \gamma} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t V(k_{t+1}, z_{t+1}) \right]$$
  
s.t.  $c_t + k_{t+1} = e^{z_t} k_t^{\theta} + (1 - \delta) k_t$   
 $z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \sigma \varepsilon_t, \ \varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ 

• We pick standard parameter values by setting

 $\beta = 0.99, \gamma = 2, \delta = 0.0294, \theta = 0.3, \text{ and } \rho = 0.95.$ 

• Then, we get:

 $V(k_t, z_t; 1) \simeq -0.54000 + 0.00295(k_t - k_{ss}) + 0.11684z_t$ -0.00007(k\_t - k\_{ss})<sup>2</sup> - 0.00985z\_t<sup>2</sup> -0.97508 - 0.00225(k\_t - k\_{ss})z\_t  $c(k_t, z_t; 1) \simeq 1.85193 + 0.04220(k_t - k_{ss}) + 0.74318z_t$ 

- Also, the consumption equivalent of the welfare cost of the business cycle is 8.8475e-005, even lower than Lucas' (1987) original computation because of the smoothing possibilities implied by the presence of capital.
- Use as an initial guess for VFI.