

Computational Complexity

(Lectures on Solution Methods for Economists II)

Jesús Fernández-Villaverde¹ and Pablo Guerrón² March 9, 2022

¹University of Pennsylvania

 2 Boston College

- We now visit the main concepts of computational complexity.
- Discrete computational complexity deals with problems that:
 - 1. Can be described by a finite number of parameters.
 - 2. Can be solved in a finite number of step.
- It is based on the *Turing model of computation*.
- Assumes that a computer can compute a finite number of operations on a finite number of symbols (with unbounded memory and/or storage space).

- A Turing Machine is a generic model of a computer that can compute functions defined over domains of finitely representative objects.
- A function is computable if exists a computer program that can compute f(x) for any input x in a finite number of time using a finite amount of memory.
- Problem: it can take very long and a lot of memory.
- The theory of computational complexity classifies the problems in terms of time and memory needed.

- Let us give a look to the traveling salesman problem.
 - 1. x is a finite list $\{c_1 \ldots c_n\}$ and a list of distance $\{d(c_i, c_j)\}$.
 - f is an ordering {c_{π(1)}...c_{π(n)}} that minimizes the length of a trip from π (1), visiting all the cities and ending at π (1).
- The natural metric of "size" is *n*, the number of cities.
- comp(n) returns the minimal time required by any algorithm to compute a problem of size n.

- A polynomial-time problem has comp(n) = O(P(n)) for some polynomial.
- For example, a multiplication of two $n \times n$ matrices has $comp(n) = O(n^{2376})$.
- Polynomial-time problems are said to be tractable.
- If a problem is not bounded by any P(n) is said to be an exponential-time problem.
- Exponential-time problems are said to be intractable.
- It will be shown that a n-dimensional DP problem is a polynomial-time problem.

- It deals with continuous mathematical problems.
- This type of problems cannot be solved exactly in a computer.
- We can only compute arbitrarily closed solutions.
- The theory of continuous computational complexity is based on the real number of model instead of the Turing model.

- A real number model is a machine that can compute infinite precision computations and store exact values of real numbers as 2^{1/2}.
- It does not consider approximation error and/or round-off error.

- Since continuous time problems depend on an infinite number of parameters.
- Since a computer can only store a finite number of parameters, any algorithm trying to solve a has to deal with partial information.
- For example an integral.
- We have being able to characterize the complexity of some continuous problems as the DP with continuous state variables.

• A continuous mathematical problem can be defined as:

 $\Lambda: F \to B$

where F and B are infinite dimensional.

Example I: A multivariate integral

• For example:

$$\Lambda\left(f
ight)=\int_{\left[0,1
ight]^{d}}f\left(s
ight)\lambda\left(ds
ight)$$

where F and B are infinite dimensional.

• B = R and $F = \left\{ f : [0,1]^d \to R | D^r f \text{ is continuos and } \| D^r f \| \le 1 \right\}$

where

$$\|D^{r}f\| = \max_{k_{1},\ldots,k_{d}} \sup_{s_{1},\ldots,s_{d}} \left| \frac{\partial^{r}f(s_{1},\ldots,s_{d})}{\partial^{k_{1}}s_{1}\ldots\partial^{k_{d}}s_{d}} \right|$$

$$r = k_{1}+\ldots+k_{d}$$

- F consists in all the pairs f = (u, p).
- The operator $\Lambda: F \to B$ can be written as $V = \Lambda(u, p)$.
- Where in the finite case $V = (V_0, \dots, V_T)$ as described in the recursive algorithm described the other day.
- An in the infinite order case V is the unique solution to the Bellman equation.

The approximation I

- Since f ∈ F an infinite dimensional space, we can only compute an approximation using a computable mapping U : F → B can be computed only using a finite amount of information about f and can be computed using a finite number of algebraic operations.
- Given a norm in *B*, we can define $\|\Lambda(f) U(f)\|$.
- U(f) is an ε -approximation of f if $\|\Lambda(f) U(f)\| \le \varepsilon$.
- Let us analyze deterministic algorithms.
- $U: F \rightarrow B$ can be represented as the composition of:

 $U(f) = \phi_N(I_N(f))$

where $I_N(f): F \to R^N$ maps information about f into R^N .

• In general $I_N(f) = (L_1(f), \ldots, L_N(f))$ where $L_i(f)$ is a functional of f.

- Consider $I_N(f): F \to R$ and $L_i(f) = f(s_i)$ for some $s_i \in S$.
- In this case, $I_N(f)$ is called the standard information

 $I_{N}(f) = (f(s_{1}), \ldots, f(s_{N}))$

where s_1, \ldots, s_N can be thought as the "grid points."

- $\phi_N(I_N(f))$ is a function that maps $I_N(f)$ into B.
- I_N , ϕ_N , and N are choice variables to get an accuracy ε .

• Call c(U, f) the computational cost of computing and approximation solution U(f).

 $c(U, f) = c_1(I_N, f) + c_2(\phi_N, I_N(f))$

where $c_1(I_N, f)$ is the cost of computing f at s_1, \ldots, s_N and $c_2(\phi_N, I_N(f))$ is the cost of using $f(s_1), \ldots, f(s_N)$ to compute $U(f) = \phi_N(I_N(f))$.

- The multivariate integration problem.
- Step 0: Chose $s_1, ..., s_N$ in $[0, 1]^d$.
- Step 1: Calculate $f(s_1), \ldots, f(s_N)$.
- Step 2:

$$\phi_{N}\left(I_{N}\left(f\right)\right) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} f\left(s_{i}\right)}{N}$$

• It can be shown that in this case $c_1(I_N, f)$ and $c_2(\phi_N, I_N(f))$ are proportional to N.

-complexity

- ε *Complexity* is the minimal cost of computing an ε -approximation to $\Lambda(f)$.
- The worst case deterministic complexity of a problem Λ is:

$$comp^{wor-det}\left(arepsilon
ight)=\inf_{U}\left\{c\left(U
ight)|e\left(U
ight)\leqarepsilon
ight\}$$

where $c(U) = \sup_{f \in F} c(U, f)$ and $e(U) = \sup_{f \in F} ||\Lambda(f) - U(f)||$.

• For the multivariate integration problem, it can be shown that:

$$comp^{wor-det}\left(arepsilon
ight)=O\left(rac{1}{arepsilon^{d/r}}
ight)$$

- Given Θ , ε , and r, exponential function on $d \rightarrow$ curse of dimensionality.
- Chow and Tsitsiklis (1989,1991) show that the MPD problem is also subject to the course of dimensionality.

Random algorithms

- Random algorithms break the course of dimensionality.
- $\widetilde{U}: F \to B$ can be represented as the composition of:

$$\widetilde{U}(f) = \widetilde{\phi}_{N}\left(\widetilde{I}_{N}(f)\right)$$

where $\tilde{I}_{N}(f)$ is a random information operator nd $\tilde{\phi}_{N}(\tilde{I}_{N}(f))$ is a random algorithm.

- The multivariate integration problem:
 - 1. *IID* draws $\tilde{s}_1, \ldots, \tilde{s}_N$ from $[0, 1]^d$.
 - 2. Calculate $f(\tilde{s}_1), \ldots, f(\tilde{s}_N)$.
 - 3. We compute:

$$\phi_{N}\left(I_{N}\left(f\right)\right) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} f\left(\widetilde{s}_{i}\right)}{N}$$

-complexity of random algorithms I

- \widetilde{U} is a random variable.
- Let us define the underlying probability space $(\Omega, Borel(\Omega), \mu)$.
- $\widetilde{I}_N : \Omega \to R^N$.
- $\widetilde{\phi}_N : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{B}.$
- So that \widetilde{U} is a well-defined random variable for each $f \in F$.
- The worst case randomized complexity of a problem Λ is:

$$comp^{wor-ran}\left(\varepsilon\right) = \inf_{\widetilde{U}}\left\{c\left(\widetilde{U}
ight)|e\left(\widetilde{U}
ight) \leq \varepsilon
ight\}$$

where

$$e\left(\widetilde{U}\right) = \sup_{f \in F} \int \left\| \Lambda(f) - \widetilde{U}(\omega, f) \right\| \mu(d\omega)$$
$$c\left(\widetilde{U}\right) = \sup_{f \in F} \int c\left(\widetilde{U}(\omega, f), f\right) \mu(d\omega).$$

-complexity of random algorithms II

• For the multivariate integration problem, it can be shown that

$$comp^{\textit{wor}-ran}\left(arepsilon
ight)=O\left(rac{1}{arepsilon^{2}}
ight).$$

- There is not course of dimensionality.
- However: are random variables really random?
- We know that this is not the case: we only have pseudo-random numbers.
- Therefore, random algorithms are deterministic algorithms.
- A problem?

Random algorithms not always a solution

- Sometimes even random algorithms cannot solve the course of dimensionality when we evaluate algorithms using the worse case.
- Examples nonlinear optimization and the solution to PDE.
- An option is to evaluate the algorithm on basis of the average rather than the worst case.
- The average case deterministic complexity of a problem Λ is:

 $comp^{ave-ran}(\varepsilon) = \inf_{U} \{ c(U) | e(U) \le \varepsilon \}$

where

$$e(U) = \int \|\Lambda(f) - U(f)\| \mu(df)$$
$$c(U) = \int c(U(f), f) \mu(df).$$

- Why deterministic? They are equivalent.
- It is difficult to define priors: μ .