Solution Methods

Jesús Fernández-Villaverde

University of Pennsylvania

March 16, 2016

Functional equations

• A large class of problems in macroeconomics search for a function *d* that solves a *functional equation*:

$$\mathcal{H}(d) = \mathbf{0}$$

- More formally:
 - (1) Let J^1 and J^2 be two functional spaces and let $\mathcal{H}: J^1 \to J^2$ be an operator between these two spaces.
 - 2 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{l}$.
 - **3** Then, we need to find a function $d: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\mathcal{H}(d) = \mathbf{0}$.
- Notes:
 - 1 Regular equations are particular examples of functional equations.
 - 0 is the space zero, different in general that the zero in the reals.

Example I

• Let's go back to our basic stochastic neoclassical growth model:

$$\begin{split} \max \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u\left(c_t, l_t\right) \\ c_t + k_{t+1} &= e^{z_t} A k_t^{\alpha} l_t^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta) k_t, \,\forall \, t > 0 \\ z_t &= \rho z_{t-1} + \sigma \varepsilon_t, \, \varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \\ \log \sigma_t &= (1-\rho_{\sigma}) \log \sigma + \rho_{\sigma} \log \sigma_{t-1} + \left(1-\rho_{\sigma}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta u_t \end{split}$$

• The first order condition:

$$u'(c_{t}, l_{t}) = \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left\{ u'(c_{t+1}, l_{t+1}) \left(1 + \alpha e^{z_{t+1}} A k_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} l_{t+1}^{1 - \alpha} - \delta \right) \right\}$$

• Where is the stochastic volatility?

Example II

Define:

$$\mathbf{x}_t = (\mathbf{k}_t, \mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \log \sigma_{t-1}, \varepsilon_t, \mathbf{u}_t)$$

There is a decision rule (a.k.a. policy function) that gives the optimal choice of consumption and capital tomorrow given the states today:

$$d = \begin{cases} d^{1}(x_{t}) = l_{t} \\ d^{2}(x_{t}) = k_{t+1} \end{cases}$$

• From these two choices, we can find:

$$c_{t} = e^{z_{t}}Ak_{t}^{\alpha}\left(d^{1}\left(x_{t}\right)\right)^{1-\alpha} + \left(1-\delta\right)k_{t} - d^{2}\left(x_{t}\right)$$

Example III

• Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= u'\left(c_{t}, d^{1}\left(x_{t}\right)\right) \\ &-\beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} u'\left(c_{t+1}, d^{1}\left(x_{t+1}\right)\right) * \\ \left(1 + \alpha e^{z_{t+1}} A d^{2}\left(x_{t}\right)^{\alpha - 1} d^{1}\left(x_{t+1}\right)^{1 - \alpha} - \delta\right) \end{array} \right\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

• If we find g, and a transversality condition is satisfied, we are done!

Example IV

There is a recursive problem associated with the previous sequential problem:

$$V(x_t) = \max_{k_{t+1}, l_t} \left\{ u(c_t, l_t) + \beta \mathbb{E}_t V(x_{t+1}) \right\}$$

$$c_t + k_{t+1} = e^{z_t} A k_t^{\alpha} l_t^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta) k_t, \forall t > 0$$

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \sigma \varepsilon_t, \ \varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

$$\log \sigma_t = (1-\rho_{\sigma}) \log \sigma + \rho_{\sigma} \log \sigma_{t-1} + (1-\rho_{\sigma}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta u_t$$

• Then:

$$d\left(x_{t}\right)=V\left(x_{t}\right)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(d) = d(x_t) - \max_{k_{t+1}, l_t} \left\{ u(c_t, l_t) + \beta \mathbb{E}_t d(x_{t+1}) \right\} = \mathbf{0}$$

How do we solve functional equations?

- General idea: substitute d (x) by dⁿ (x, θ) where θ is an n dim vector of coefficients to be determined.
- Two Main Approaches:

Perturbation methods:

$$d^{n}(x,\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \theta_{i} (x - x_{0})^{i}$$

We use implicit-function theorems to find θ_i .

2 Projection methods:

$$d^{n}(x,\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \theta_{i} \Psi_{i}(x)$$

We pick a basis $\left\{ \Psi_{i}\left(x
ight)
ight\} _{i=0}^{\infty}$ and "project" $\mathcal{H}\left(\cdot
ight)$ against that basis.

Comparison with traditional solution methods

- Linearization (or loglinearization): equivalent to a first-order perturbation.
- Linear-quadratic approximation: equivalent (under certain conditions) to a first-order perturbation.
- Parameterized expectations: a particular example of projection.
- Value function iteration: it can be interpreted as an iterative procedure to solve a particular projection method. Nevertheless, I prefer to think about it as a different family of problems.
- Policy function iteration: similar to VFI.

Advantages of the functional equation approach

- Generality: abstract framework highlights commonalities across problems.
- Large set of existing theoretical and numerical results in applied math.
- It allows us to identify more clearly issue and challenges specific to economic problems (for example, importance of expectations).
- It allows us to deal efficiently with nonlinearities.

Perturbation: motivation

- Perturbation builds a Taylor-series approximation of the exact solution.
- Very accurate around the point where the approximation is undertakes.
- Often, surprisingly good global properties.
- Only approach that handle models with dozens of state variables.
- Relation between uncertainty shocks and the curse of dimensionality.

References

- General:
 - A First Look at Perturbation Theory by James G. Simmonds and James E. Mann Jr.
 - 2 Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers: Asymptotic Methods and Perturbation Theory by Carl M. Bender, Steven A. Orszag.
- Economics:
 - Perturbation Methods for General Dynamic Stochastic Models" by Hehui Jin and Kenneth Judd.
 - Perturbation Methods with Nonlinear Changes of Variables" by Kenneth Judd.
 - 3 A gentle introduction: "Solving Dynamic General Equilibrium Models Using a Second-Order Approximation to the Policy Function" by Martín Uribe and Stephanie Schmitt-Grohe.

- Let me come back to our RBC-SV model.
- Three changes:
 - (1) Eliminate labor supply and have a log utility function for consumption.
 - Full depreciation.
 - **③** A = 1.
- Why?

Environment

$$\begin{split} \max \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log c_t \\ \text{s.t.} \ c_t + k_{t+1} &= e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha}, \ \forall \ t > 0 \\ z_t &= \rho z_{t-1} + \sigma \varepsilon_t \\ \log \sigma_t &= (1 - \rho_{\sigma}) \log \sigma + \rho_{\sigma} \log \sigma_{t-1} + \left(1 - \rho_{\sigma}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta u_t \end{split}$$

• Equilibrium conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c_t} &= \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{1}{c_{t+1}} \alpha e^{z_{t+1}} k_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} \\ & c_t + k_{t+1} = e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha} \\ & z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \sigma \varepsilon_t \end{aligned}$$
$$\log \sigma_t &= (1 - \rho_{\sigma}) \log \sigma + \rho_{\sigma} \log \sigma_{t-1} + (1 - \rho_{\sigma}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta u_t \end{aligned}$$

Solution

• Exact solution (found by "guess and verify"):

$$c_t = (1 - lpha eta) e^{z_t} k_t^{lpha} \ k_{t+1} = lpha eta e^{z_t} k_t^{lpha}$$

- Note that this solution is the same than in the model without stochastic volatility.
- Intuition.
- However, the dynamics of the model is affected by the law of motion for *z*_t.

• Steady state is also easy to find:

$$k = (\alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$
$$c = (\alpha\beta)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} - (\alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$
$$z = 0$$
$$\log \sigma = \log \sigma$$

• Steady state in more general models.

The goal

- Define $x_t = (k_t, z_{t-1}, \log \sigma_{t-1}, \varepsilon_t, u_t; \lambda)$.
- Role of λ : perturbation parameter.
- We are searching for decision rules:

$$d = \begin{cases} c_t = c(x_t) \\ k_{t+1} = k(x_t) \end{cases}$$

• Then, we have a system of functional equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c\left(x_{t}\right)} &= \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \frac{1}{c\left(x_{t+1}\right)} \alpha e^{z_{t+1}} k\left(x_{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} \\ &c\left(x_{t}\right) + k\left(x_{t}\right) = e^{z_{t}} k_{t}^{\alpha} \\ &z_{t} = \rho z_{t-1} + \sigma_{t} \lambda \varepsilon_{t} \\ \log \sigma_{t} &= (1 - \rho_{\sigma}) \log \sigma + \rho_{\sigma} \log \sigma_{t-1} + \left(1 - \rho_{\sigma}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta \lambda u_{t} \end{aligned}$$

Taylor's theorem

- We will build a local approximation around $x = (k, 0, \log \sigma, 0, 0; \lambda)$.
- Given equilibrium conditions:

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}\left(\frac{1}{c\left(x_{t}\right)}-\beta\frac{1}{c\left(x_{t+1}\right)}\alpha e^{z_{t+1}}k\left(x_{t}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right)=0$$

$$c\left(x_{t}\right)+k\left(x_{t}\right)-e^{z_{t}}k_{t}^{\alpha}=0$$

We will take derivatives with respect to $(k, z, \log \sigma, \varepsilon, u; \lambda)$ and evaluate them around $x = (k, 0, \log \sigma, 0, 0; \lambda)$.

• Why?

• Apply Taylor's theorem and a version of the implicit-function theorem.

Taylor series expansion I

$$c_{t} = c (k_{t}, z_{t-1}, \log \sigma_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t}, u_{t}; 1)|_{k,0,0} = c (x) + c_{k} (x) (k_{t} - k) + c_{z} (x) z_{t-1} + c_{\log \sigma} (x) \log \sigma_{t-1} + c_{\varepsilon} (x) \varepsilon_{t} + c_{u} (x) u_{t} + c_{\lambda} (x) + \frac{1}{2} c_{kk} (x) (k_{t} - k)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} c_{kz} (x) (k_{t} - k) z_{t-1} + \frac{1}{2} c_{k \log \sigma} (x) (k_{t} - k) \log \sigma_{t-1} + \dots$$

Taylor series expansion II

$$k_{t} = k (k_{t}, z_{t-1}, \log \sigma_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t}, u_{t}; 1)|_{k,0,0} = k (x) + k_{k} (x) (k_{t} - k) + k_{z} (x) z_{t-1} + k_{\log \sigma} (x) \log \sigma_{t-1} + k_{\varepsilon} (x) \varepsilon_{t} + k_{u} (x) u_{t} + k_{\lambda} (x) + \frac{1}{2} k_{kk} (x) (k_{t} - k)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} k_{kz} (x) (k_{t} - k) z_{t-1} + \frac{1}{2} k_{k \log \sigma} (x) (k_{t} - k) \log \sigma_{t-1} + \dots$$

Comment on notation

• From now on, to save on notation, I will write

$$F(x_t) = \mathbb{E}_t \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{c(x_t)} - \beta \frac{1}{c(x_{t+1})} \alpha e^{z_{t+1}} k(x_t)^{\alpha - 1} \\ c(x_t) + k(x_t) - e^{z_t} k_t^{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- I will take partial derivatives of $F(x_t)$ and evaluate them at the steady state
- Do these derivatives exist?

First-order approximation

- We take first-order derivatives of $F(x_t)$ around x.
- Thus, we get:

$$DF(x) = 0$$

- A matrix quadratic system.
- Why quadratic? Stable and unstable manifold.
- However, it has a nice recursive structure that we can and should exploit.

- Procedures to solve quadratic systems:
 - Blanchard and Kahn (1980) .
 - 2 Uhlig (1999).
 - 3 Sims (2000).
 - ④ Klein (2000).
- All of them equivalent.

Properties of the first-order solution

- Coefficients associated with λ are zero.
- Coefficients associated with log σ_{t-1} are zero.
- Coefficients associated with *u*_t are zero.
- In fact, up to first-order, stochastic volatility is irrelevant.
- This result recovers traditional macroeconomic approach to fluctuations.

- No precautionary behavior.
- Difference between risk-aversion and precautionary behavior. Leland (1968), Kimball (1990).
- Risk-aversion depends on the second derivative (concave utility).
- Precautionary behavior depends on the third derivative (convex marginal utility).

Second-order approximation

- We take first-order derivatives of $F(x_t)$ around x.
- Thus, we get:

$$D^{2}F\left(x\right)=0$$

- We substitute the coefficients that we already know.
- A matrix linear system.
- It also has a recursive structure, but now it is less crucial to exploit it.

Properties of the second-order solution

- Coefficients associated with λ are zero, but not coefficients associated with $\lambda^2.$
- Coefficients associated with $(\log \sigma_{t-1})^2$ are zero, but not coefficients associated with $\varepsilon_t \log \sigma_{t-1}$.
- Coefficients associated with u_t^2 are zero, but not coefficients associated with $\varepsilon_t u_t$.
- Thus, up to second-order, stochastic volatility matters.
- However, we cannot compute impulse-response functions to volatility shocks.

Jesús Fernández-Villaverde (PENN)

Third-order approximation

- We take third-order derivatives of $F(x_t)$ around x.
- Thus, we get:

$$D^{3}F\left(x\right)=0$$

- We substitute the coefficients that we already know.
- Still a matrix linear system with a recursive structure.
- Memory management considerations.

Computer

• In practice you do all this approximations with a computer:

1) First-, second-, and third- order: Dynare.

2 Higher order: Mathematica, Dynare++.

- Burden: analytical derivatives.
- Why are numerical derivatives a bad idea?
- Alternatives: automatic differentiation?

An alternative: projection

• Remember that we want to solve a functional equations of the form:

$$\mathcal{H}(d) = \mathbf{0}$$

for an unknown decision rule d.

• Projection methods solve the problem by specifying:

$$d^{n}(x,\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \theta_{i} \Psi_{i}(x)$$

We pick a basis $\{\Psi_i(x)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and "project" $\mathcal{H}(\cdot)$ against that basis to find the θ_i 's.

• We work with linear combinations of basis functions because theory of nonlinear approximations is not as developed as the linear case.

Jesús Fernández-Villaverde (PENN)

Algorithm

- **1** Define *n* known linearly independent functions $\psi_i : \Omega \to \Re^m$, where $n < \infty$. We call the $\psi_0(\cdot)$, $\psi_2(\cdot)$, ..., $\psi_n(\cdot)$ the *basis functions*.
- 2 Define a vector of coefficients $\theta = [\theta_0, \theta_1, ..., \theta_n]$.
- 3 Define a combination of the basis functions and the θ 's:

$$d^{n}\left(\cdot \mid \theta\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \theta_{i} \psi_{n}\left(\cdot\right)$$

④ Plug $d^{n}(\cdot | \theta)$ into $H(\cdot)$ to find the *residual equation*:

$$R(\cdot | \theta) = \mathcal{H}(d^{n}(\cdot | \theta))$$

(5) Find $\hat{\theta}$ that make the residual equation as close to **0** as possible given some objective function $\rho: J^1 \times J^1 \to J^2$:

$$\widehat{ heta} = rg\min_{ heta \in \Re^n}
ho \left(R\left(\left. \cdot
ight| heta
ight), \mathbf{0}
ight)$$

Models with heterogeneous agents

- Obviously, we cannot review here all the literature on solution methods for models with heterogeneous agents.
- Particular example of Bloom et al. (20012)
- Based on Krusell and Smith (1998) and Kahn and Thomas (2008)
- FOCs:

$$w_t = \phi c_t n_t^{\xi}$$

 $m_t = eta rac{c_t}{c_{t+1}}$

Original formulation of the recursive problem

• Remember:

$$= \max_{i,n} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y - w \left(A, \sigma^{Z}, \sigma^{A}, \Phi\right) \\ -AC^{k} \left(k, k'\right) - AC^{n} \left(n_{-1}, n\right) \\ +\mathbb{E}mV \left(k', n, z'; A', \sigma^{Z'}, \sigma^{A'}, \Phi'\right) \end{array} \right\}$$

s.t. $\Phi' = \Gamma \left(A, \sigma^{Z}, \sigma^{A}, \Phi\right)$

Alternative formulation of the recursive problem

• Then, we can rewrite:

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{V}\left(k,n_{-1},z;A,\sigma^{Z},\sigma^{A},\Phi\right) \\ = \max_{i,n} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{c}\left(y - \phi c n^{1+\xi} - i - AC^{k}\left(k,k'\right) - AC^{n}\left(n_{-1},n\right)\right) \\ & +\beta \mathbb{E}\widetilde{V}\left(k',n,z';A',\sigma^{Z'},\sigma^{A'},\Phi'\right) \\ & \text{s.t. } \Phi^{m'} = \Gamma\left(A,\sigma^{Z},\sigma^{A},\Phi\right) \end{split} \right\} \end{split}$$

where

$$\widetilde{V} = \frac{1}{c}V$$

• We can apply a version of K-S algorithm.

K-S algorithm I

• We approximate:

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{V}\left(k, n_{-1}, z; A, \sigma^{Z}, \sigma^{A}, \Phi^{m}\right) \\ = \max_{i,n} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{c} \left(y - \phi c n^{1+\xi} - i - AC^{k}\left(k, k'\right) - AC^{n}\left(n_{-1}, n\right)\right) \\ & +\beta \mathbb{E} \widetilde{V}\left(k', n, z'; A', \sigma^{Z'}, \sigma^{A'}, \Phi^{m'}\right) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \text{s.t. } \Phi^{m'} = \Gamma\left(A, \sigma^{Z}, \sigma^{A}, \Phi^{m}\right) \end{split}$$

• Forecasting rules for $\frac{1}{c}$ and Γ .

• Since they are aggregate rules, a common guess is of the form:

$$\log \frac{1}{c} = \alpha_1 \left(A, \sigma^Z, \sigma^A \right) + \alpha_2 \left(A, \sigma^Z, \sigma^A \right) K$$
$$\log K' = \alpha_3 \left(A, \sigma^Z, \sigma^A \right) + \alpha_4 \left(A, \sigma^Z, \sigma^A \right) K$$

K-S algorithm II

- 1 Guess initial values for $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$.
- ② Given guessed forecasting rules, solve value function of an individual firm.
- 3 Simulate the economy for a large number of periods, computing ¹/_c and K'.
- ④ Use regression to update forecasting rules.
- Iterate until convergence

- I presented the plain vanilla K-S algorithm.
- Many recent developments.
- Check Algan, Allais, Den Haan, and Rendahl (2014).